

# Netse BW's experiences with Broadband Powerline

**Fabian Karl** 





Netze BW is a DSO covering large areas of the German South-West Most of the covered area is rural (with some mid-sized towns)

The German Smart Meter approach includes Smart Grid use cases (such as: remote control of controllable devices)

Smart Grid use cases require a high level of security and there is no security without sufficient data rates (and latencies)

The "go-to" solution (a 4G modem integrated in every SMGW) only brings you so far if there is **not enough reception in the basement** 

→ Therefore, Netze BW had to look for alternatives to 4G / LTE

Source: https://assets.ctfassets.net/xytfb1vrn7of/1s5AGMOmCo8z50nJQ4nwZ0/11102737160913664828fe5ee670c3da/Broschu\_\_re\_Stromplanung\_im\_Dialog.pdf

## **So... maybe use BPL for the Smart Meter Rollout?** BPL enables communication, where LTE reception is missing





## What about the cost then – LTE must be cheaper, isn't it? Calculation for a typical small town: the more devices, the cheaper BPL gets







## **BPL-SMGWs** sollen im Feld installiert werden Erproben einer alternativen Kommunikationstechnologie zu LTE Erreichbarkeit der Geräte per Funk im Keller ist schwierig > Transport der Daten über das Stromnetz zu einem Headend im Kabelverteilerschrank Von dort aus per LTE ins Backend

## **Rolling out smart meters using Broadband Powerline Communication** Typical 2-phase approach of German DSOs





Phase 1 of a typical BPL project is the installation of Headends and Repeaters – forming the **"communication network"** which Smart Meter Gateways can use to communicate with the backend.



In **Phase 2**, Smart Meter Gateways are installed. They use the previously established communication infrastructure to send metering data and receive control messages





## Phase 1: Installing Headends and Repeaters (IEEE 1901-2010)

You want to make sure, the communication network is ready – but what if it isn't?



So Netze BW did what PPC had told them, installed a Repeater in every street cabinet and then waited for the LED to stop flashing and go to constant green...





#### But not every connection could be established - what now?

PPC said: Don't worry, BPL SMGWs also act as repeaters, so the network will get stronger with every installed device

Actually, Netze BW was able to observe just that: the more BPL SMGWs they installed, the more devices came online:



## Phase 1: Installing Headends and Repeaters (IEEE 1901-2020 / nessum) Better safe than sorry: bandplan X4 covers long distances effortless



Phase 1 of every BPL project is the installation of Headends and Repeaters – forming the "communication network" which Smart Meter Gateways can use to communicate with the backend.







## **Testing the theory in the field (with the first prototypes)** Swapping IEEE 1901-2010 devices for IEEE 1901-2020 (nessum) devices (in X4)

nessum

## **Testing the theory in the field (with the first prototypes)** Swapping IEEE 1901-2010 devices for IEEE 1901-2020 (nessum) devices (in X4)





#### Signal level at the **Receiver** (= Repeater):





RP

RF

Installation at cable links, where IEEE 1901-2010 devices could not establish any connection

#### Performance of IEEE 1901-2020 / nessum devices:

- throughput in the Mbit/s range (bandplan: X4)
- high reachabilities (s.u.)
- Iow latencies (s.u.)

	GERÄTENAME *	IP-ADRESSE 🗘	PING (MS)	ERREICHBARKEIT 🛟	LETZTER TRAP
۲	Kein Name vergeben	2a0b:f400:3140:8a10:225:18ff.fe00:a895	<b>97 ms</b> 13.05.2024, 15:38	<b>99.5 %</b> 13.05.2024, 17:00	13.05.2024, 16:59
	Kein Name vergeben	2a0b:f400:3140:8a10:225:18ff.fe00:a897	<b>94 ms</b> 13.05.2024, 15:38	<b>99.9 %</b> 13.05.2024, 17:00	13.05.2024, 16:59
	Kein Name vergeben	2a0b:f400:3140:8a10:225:18ff.fe00:a899	<b>100 ms</b> 13.05.2024, 15:38	<b>100.0 %</b> 13.05.2024, 17:00	13.05.2024, 17:00
HE	Kein Name vergeben	2a0b:f400:3140:8a10:225:18ff.fe00:a893	<b>74 ms</b> 13.05.2024, 15:38	<b>100.0 %</b> 13.05.2024, 17:00	13.05.2024, 16:59



RP

## Phase 2: Installing Smart Meter Gateways (IEEE 1901-2020 / nessum) Ensuring sufficient throughput for a growing number of devices with bandplan X1



In **Phase 2**, Smart Meter Gateways are installed. The number of devices per BPL cell (as well as the need for throughput) increases while the average distance between two repeaters decreases. It is time to switch to bandplan X2 or bandplan X1.





#### **BPL Headend (LTE)**

2-in-1 solution, equipped with a 4G modem CAT IV, IP64, plug-in SIM (in the casing)

**BPL Repeater (Sensor)** 

Additional value: 3-phase voltage metering CAT IV, IP67, automatic bandplan selection

#### **BPL Smart Meter Gateway**

Fully Certified (BSI, PTB) Integrated Power Supply & BPL module Repeating functionality Automatic bandplan selection





**BPL Headend / Repeater (ETH)** 

- Integrated Power Supply & BPL module; Automatic bandplan selection (for RP)
- > 3 Interfaces (2x ETH, 1x RS485); DIN Rail form factor

## Use Case: Expanding a city's fibre network at the edge

Example: District heating in a large town in Eastern Germany

### Use Case: Establishing local communication for "energy communities"

Example: Solar production takes place at your neighbours' roof, but you have the storage in your basement

### Use Case: Building up new network infrastructures for many end nodes

Example: EV charging in an underground garage with hundreds of vehicles







#### **Pilot installation of nessum devices** in a community of ~15.000 inhabitants:

- ➤ ~ 30 Headends
- > ~ 300 Repeaters
- ~ 3000 Smart Meter Gateways

#### **Specialty:**

With the above mentioned ~ 3000 SMGWs Netze BW tries out a smart meter "full rollout"

The objective is to examine the benefits of a high density of smart meters in regards of smart grid use cases (grid obervability and control)





Bandplan **X4** is great, but it **has its weaknesses** when used in world regions where IEC 50561-1 is mandatory, because everthing below 1,6 MHz is excluded – so you already lose carriers at the low end.

When trying to get connection over very long cables, you will lose carriers at the high end until you don't have enough carriers and there is no connection at all.

Can the extended flexible channels from IEEE 1901c-2024 (Chapter 19) be the answer?

With the requirement of 50561-1 compatibility (>1,6 MHz), X21 and X22 seem especially interesting!





## Thank You! 有り難い. Danke! Merci!

#### **Fabian Karl**

Senior Product Manager Powerline Communications

**Power Plus Communications** 

f.karl@ppc-ag.de

Power Plus Communications AG • Dudenstraße 6 • 68167 Mannheim • Deutschland • www.ppc-ag.de